

PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD
Effective October 1, 2003

Application or Docket Number

10541-1838

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)
TOTAL CLAIMS	15	
FOR	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS	15 minus 20 =	* 0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	3 minus 3 =	* 0
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT <input type="checkbox"/>		

* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2

CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II

3-28-05

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)
AMENDMENT A	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total	* 12	Minus ** 20	=
Independent	* 4	Minus *** 3	= 1
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>			

SMALL ENTITY TYPE ☐

OR OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY

RATE	FEE		RATE	FEE
BASIC FEE	385.00	OR	BASIC FEE	770.00
X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	0
X43=		OR	X86=	0
+145=		OR	+290=	0
TOTAL		OR	TOTAL	770

SMALL ENTITY

OR OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
X43=		OR	X86=	200
+145=		OR	+290=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	200

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)
AMENDMENT B	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total	*	Minus **	=
Ind pendent	*	Minus ***	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>			

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
X43=		OR	X86=	
+145=		OR	+290=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)
AMENDMENT C	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total	*	Minus **	=
Independent	*	Minus ***	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>			

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
X43=		OR	X86=	
+145=		OR	+290=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

* If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20."

*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3."

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 28 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Appln. of: Yu et al.

Appln. No.: 10/687,137

Filed: October 16, 2003

For: Pressure Regulating Valve for
Automotive Fuel System

Attorney Docket No: 10541-1838

Examiner: Thomas N. Moulis

Art Unit: 3747

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

In response to the Office Action mailed January 10, 2005, Applicants respectfully submit this paper comprising the following parts:

- I. Listing of Claims, beginning on page 2; and
- II. Remarks, beginning on page 6.

Applicants note that the Transmittal to which this paper is attached includes a Certificate of Facsimile Transmission under 37 C.F.R §1.8; and a fee statement calculating any fee(s) presently due in connection with the filing of this paper, along with an authorization to charge any fee deficiency to Deposit Account No. 06-1500.

03/28/2005 16:45 FAX 17349946331
01 FEB 2005 200.00 0.4

WFS

Appln. No. 10/160,077

Attorney Docket No. 10541-1233

application distinguishes over valves taught by *Riggle* by disclosing that having the first angle being smaller than the second angle "results in near linear contact in contrast to seals having surface-to-surface contact over a relatively large area, [by] providing[ing] more consistent seating of the valve body and a more consistent opening pressure" (emphasis added; see paragraph [0014], lines 11-13 of the present application). Thus, *Riggle's* valve assembly using surface-to-surface contact is distinctly different from the valve seat as recited in amended claims 3 and 13 of the present application. Additionally, there is no suggestion or motivation in *Riggle* that would lead to the elements recited in amended claims 3 and 13.

Conclusion

In view of the above remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present form of the claims are patentably distinguishable over the art of record. Thus, claims 2-4 and 11-14 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

March 28, 2005
Date


Eric J. Sosenko (Reg. No. 34,440)